



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/767,376

01/29/2004

Nelson Diaz

16274.169

4765

22913 7590 10/01/2007

WORKMAN NYDEGGER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

KIM, DAVID S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/01/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/767,376

Applicant(s)

DIAZ, NELSON

Examiner

David S. Kim

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

1. Applicant's response to the objection to the drawings in the previous Office Action (mailed on 23 February 2007) is noted and appreciated. Applicant responded by amending claims 14 and 19-20. Applicant's response overcomes the previous objection, which is presently withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunst et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,934,740 B1, hereinafter "Kunst") in view of Knudsen (U.S. Patent No. 6,373,423 B1, hereinafter "Knudsen").

#### **Regarding claim 1, Kunst discloses:**

A receiver in a fiber optic system configured to receive an optical signal of varying light intensity and to produce a data output signal proportional thereto, the receiver comprising:

an optical detector (106 in Fig. 1) configured to receive the optical signal, the optical detector having a dynamic range of sensitivity between a high optical intensity value and a low optical intensity value (photodiodes operate in limited ranges), the optical detector further configured to convert the received optical signal into an analog electrical signal proportional to the optical intensity of the optical signal ("proportional" in col. 1, l. 24-28);

an electronic circuit (e.g., 112 in Fig. 1) coupled to the optical detector, the electronic circuit configured to receive the analog electrical signal from the optical detector and to produce digital signals representative of the optical intensity of the optical signal (notice the analog to digital conversion of A/D 112) such that the electronic circuit is configured to have an original maximum digital value proportional to the high optical intensity value and an original minimum digital value proportional to the low optical

Art Unit: 2613

intensity value ("proportional" in col. 1, l. 24-28) thereby defining an original receiver resolution between the original minimum and maximum digital values (e.g., resolution of 14 or 7 bits).

Kunst does not expressly disclose:

an adjustment circuit coupled to the electronic circuit configured to allow the original maximum digital value to be adjusted to an adjusted maximum digital value, the adjusted maximum digital value determined by a maximum value of the analog electrical signal and to allow the original minimum digital value to be adjusted to an adjusted minimum digital value, the adjusted minimum digital value determined by a minimum value of the analog electrical signal, thereby defining an adjusted receiver resolution between the adjusted minimum and maximum digital values.

However, such adjustment circuits are known in the art. Knudsen shows one example of such a circuit (e.g., analog-to-digital converter (A/D) in Fig. 2B or Fig. 4; col. 7, l. 49-53 teaches that one would adjust the sliding voltage range window, i.e., "the adjusted maximum digital value" and "the adjusted minimum digital value", to ensure that the input analog signal, i.e., "the analog electrical signal" would fall within the window; obviously, "the adjusted maximum digital value" and "the adjusted minimum digital value" are determined by the maximum and minimum values of the analog electrical signal so that these values would be included in the window). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the A/D converter teachings of Knudsen in the A/D converter 112 of Kunst. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for various benefits: reduced chip space and power requirements, reduced noise, reduced capacitance problems (Knudsen, col. 2, l. 59-61) and greater resolution (Knudsen, col. 8, l. 24-25).

**Regarding claim 2**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the adjusted maximum digital value is different than the original maximum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B).

**Regarding claim 3**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the adjusted minimum digital value is different than the original minimum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B).

**Regarding claim 4,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the adjusted maximum digital value is lower than the original maximum digital value and the adjusted minimum digital value is higher than the original minimum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B) such that the adjusted receiver resolution is finer than the original receiver resolution (Knudsen, col. 8, l. 24-25).

**Regarding claim 5,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the adjusted maximum digital value is proportional to a highest anticipated optical value for the optical signal received by the optical detector and wherein the adjusted minimum digital value is proportional to a lowest anticipated optical value of the optical signal received by the optical detector (the output is proportional in col. 1, l. 16-28 of Kunst and the range values of Knudsen would be set to fit this output, so the range values would be proportional).

**Regarding claim 6,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the adjusted maximum digital value is less than the original maximum digital value and is proportional to a highest anticipated optical value for the optical signal received by the optical detector and wherein the adjusted minimum digital value is higher than the original minimum digital value is proportional to a lowest anticipated optical value of the optical signal received by the optical detector (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B; the output is proportional in col. 1, l. 16-28 of Kunst and the range values of Knudsen would be set to fit this output, so the range values would be proportional).

**Regarding claim 7,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the dynamic range of sensitivity is between a high optical intensity value of positive 7 dBm and a low optical intensity value of negative 20 dBm (Kunst, corresponding range in Watts in col. 1, l. 29-32).

**Regarding claim 8,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 1 wherein the electronic circuit includes an analog-to-digital converter (Kunst, A/D 112 in Fig. 1) configured to receive the analog electrical signal and to convert the electrical signal into digital signals.

Art Unit: 2613

**Regarding claim 9**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 8 wherein the analog-to-digital converter converts the analog electrical signal into a series of 8-bit digital values (Knudsen, notice the variable number of bits in col. 8, l. 30-32).

**Regarding claim 10**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The receiver of claim 9 wherein the lowest 8-bit digital value is originally the original minimum digital value (Knudsen, Fig. 3B, the lowest value could correspond to ground 112) and then adjusted to the adjusted minimum digital value (Knudsen, Fig. 3B, the sliding lower bound 212B), and wherein the highest 8-bit digital value is originally the original maximum digital value (Knudsen, Fig. 3B, the lowest value could correspond to maximum reference voltage 380B) and then adjusted to the adjusted maximum digital value (Knudsen, Fig. 3B, the sliding upper bound 212A).

**Regarding claim 11**, Kunst in view of Knudsen does not expressly disclose:

The receiver of claim 1 assembled into a intelligent small form factor pluggable module for use with a fiber optic system.

However, Examiner takes Official Notice that such form factor pluggable modules are known in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to assemble the receiver of Kunst in view of Knudsen into one of these modules. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for at least the benefit of compact size.

**Regarding claim 12**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

A fiber optic communication system comprising:

a signal transmitter (Kunst, Fig. 1, implied source of transmitted signals into fiber 102) that produces optical signals of varying light intensity;

an optical fiber (Kunst, Fig. 1, fiber 102) coupled to the signal transmitter that receives and transmits the optical signals;

a receiver (Kunst, Fig. 1, receiving end) coupled to the optical fiber that receives the optical signals and produces a data signal proportional thereto, the receiver further comprising:

an optical detector (Kunst, 106) configured to receive the optical signals, the optical detector having a dynamic range of sensitivity between a high optical value and a low optical value

Art Unit: 2613

(photodiodes operate in limited ranges), the optical detector further configured to convert the received optical signals into electrical signals proportional to the optical intensity of the optical signals (Kunst, "proportional" in col. 1, l. 24-28);

an electronic circuit (Kunst, 112) coupled to the optical detector, the electronic circuit configured to receive the electrical signals from the optical detector and to have an initial digital range (Kunst, notice the analog to digital conversion of A/D 112) representative of the dynamic range, the initial digital range being defined between an initial maximum digital value and an initial minimum digital value (Kunst, "range" in col. 1, l. 36-42), the initial maximum digital value being proportional to high optical value and the initial minimum digital value being proportional to low optical value ("proportional" in col. 1, l. 24-28); and

an adjustment circuit (A/D 112 of Kunst in view of the A/D teachings of Knudsen, see the treatment of claim 1 above) coupled to the electronic circuit configured to allow the initial maximum digital value to be adjusted to an adjusted maximum digital value and to allow the initial minimum digital value to be adjusted to an adjusted minimum digital value thereby defining an adjusted digital range (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B), the adjusted maximum digital value being proportional to a highest anticipated optical value and the adjusted minimum digital value being proportional to a lowest anticipated optical value (the output is proportional in col. 1, l. 16-28 of Kunst and the range values of Knudsen would be set to fit this output, so the range values would be proportional).

**Regarding claim 13,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The fiber optic communication system of claim 12 wherein the adjusted maximum digital value is different than the initial maximum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B).

**Regarding claim 14,** Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The fiber optic communication system of claim 12 wherein the adjusted maximum digital value is lower than the initial maximum digital value and the adjusted minimum digital value is higher than the initial minimum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B) such that the adjusted digital range has more resolution (Knudsen, col. 8, l. 24-25) than the initial digital range.

**Regarding claim 15**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The fiber optic communication system of claim 12 wherein the electronic circuit includes an analog-to-digital converter (Kunst, A/D 112 in Fig. 1) configured to receive the analog electrical signal and to convert the electrical signal into a digital signal.

**Regarding claim 16**, Kunst in view of Knudsen does not expressly disclose:

The fiber optic communication system of claim 12 wherein the receiver is assembled into an intelligent small form factor pluggable module for use in the fiber optic system.

However, Examiner takes Official Notice that such form factor pluggable modules are known in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to assemble the receiver of Kunst in view of Knudsen into one of these modules. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for at least the benefit of compact size.

**Regarding claim 17**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

A receiver in a fiber optic system, the receiver comprising:

an optical detector (Kunst, 106) configured to receive an optical signal of varying light intensity, the optical detector having a dynamic range of sensitivity between a high optical intensity value and a low optical intensity value (photodiodes operate in limited ranges), the optical detector further configured to convert the received optical signal into an analog electrical signal proportional to the optical intensity of the optical signal (Kunst, "proportional" in col. 1, l. 24-28);

an electronic circuit (Kunst, 112) coupled to the optical detector, the electronic circuit configured to receive the analog electrical signal from the optical detector and to produce digital signals (Kunst, notice the analog to digital conversion of A/D 112) representative of the optical intensity of the optical signal such that the electronic circuit is configured with an original maximum digital value proportional to the high optical intensity value and an original minimum digital value proportional to the low optical intensity value (Kunst, "proportional" in col. 1, l. 24-28) thereby defining an original receiver resolution between the original minimum and maximum digital values (Kunst, e.g., resolution of 14 or 7 bits); and

adjustment means (A/D 112 of Kunst in view of the A/D teachings of Knudsen, see the treatment of claim 1 above) coupled to the electronic circuit for adjusting the original maximum digital value to an

Art Unit: 2613

adjusted maximum digital value and for adjusting the original minimum digital value to an adjusted minimum digital value thereby defining an adjusted receiver resolution (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B) between the adjusted minimum and maximum digital values, wherein the adjusted maximum digital value and the adjusted minimum value are selected based on an anticipated minimum and maximum values of the analog electrical signal (e.g., analog-to-digital converter (A/D) in Fig. 2B or Fig. 4; col. 7, l. 49-53 teaches that one would adjust the sliding voltage range window, i.e., "the adjusted maximum digital value" and "the adjusted minimum digital value", to ensure that the input analog signal, i.e., "the analog electrical signal" would fall within the window; obviously, "the adjusted maximum digital value" and "the adjusted minimum digital value" are selected based on an anticipated minimum and maximum values of the analog electrical signal so that these values would be included in the window).

**Regarding claim 18**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

A method of adjusting the resolution of a receiver in a fiber optic system, the method including the steps of:

providing an optical detector (Kunst, 106) with a dynamic range sensitivity between a highest optical value and a lowest optical value;

providing an initial digital range (Knudsen, Fig. 3B) representative of the dynamic range, the initial digital range being defined between an initial maximum digital value and an initial minimum digital value, the maximum digital value being proportional to highest optical value and the minimum digital value being proportional to lowest optical value (the output is proportional in col. 1, l. 16-28 of Kunst and the range values of Knudsen would be set to fit this output, so the range values would be proportional);

determining an actual optical range for a fiber optic system application, the actual optical range defined between a highest actual optical value and a lowest actual value (e.g., Kunst, corresponding range in Watts in col. 1, l. 29-32); and

adjusting the initial digital range to an adjusted dynamic range, the adjusted digital range being defined between an adjusted maximum digital value and an adjusted minimum digital value, the adjusted maximum digital value being proportional to highest actual optical value and the adjusted minimum

Art Unit: 2613

digital value being proportional to lowest actual optical value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B; the output is proportional in col. 1, l. 16-28 of Kunst and the range values of Knudsen would be set to fit this output, so the range values would be proportional).

**Regarding claim 19**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The method of claim 18 wherein the step of adjusting the initial digital range to an adjusted dynamic range includes adjusting the maximum digital value to be lower than the initial maximum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B) such that the adjusted digital range has more resolution (Knudsen, col. 8, l. 24-25) than the initial digital range.

**Regarding claim 20**, Kunst in view of Knudsen discloses:

The method of claim 18 wherein the step of adjusting the initial digital range to an adjusted dynamic range includes adjusting the minimum digital value to be higher than the initial minimum digital value (Knudsen, sliding window in Fig. 3B) such that the adjusted digital range has more resolution (Knudsen, col. 8, l. 24-25) than the initial digital range.

**Response to Arguments**

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 23 July 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states:

"Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the adjusted maximum digital value is determined by a maximum value of the analog electrical signal. The adjusted minimum signal is determined by a minimum value of the analog electrical signal. This type of adjustment is not as susceptible to the risk that is associated with the sliding window taught by Knudsen.

In other words, the sliding window taught by Knudsen is not selected according to the maximum and minimum values of the analog electrical signal as required by claim 1. Rather, the sliding window is selected according to the degree or amount of change in the input signal. See col. 7, lls. 55-56. As a result, Knudsen fails to teach the adjustment circuit required by claim 1 and Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is therefore patentable over the cited art.

The independent claims 12, 17, and 18 have similar limitations that are likewise not taught by the cited art. Claim 12, for example, requires an adjustment circuit that adjusts the maximum digital value and the minimum digital value to be adjusted to values that are proportional to the highest and lowest anticipated optical values. Claim 17 selects the adjusted maximum and minimum values based on the anticipated minimum and maximum values of the analog electrical signal. Claim 18 sets the adjusted values based on the actual highest and lowest optical values. As discussed above, the sliding window taught by Knudsen relies on the amount of change in the input signal and does not set the sliding window according to anticipated or actual values of the optical or electrical signal.

For at least these reasons, Applicant submits that claims 12, 17, and 18 are likewise patentable over the cited art. The dependent claims rejected under § 103 are patentable for at least the same reasons" (REMARKS, p. 11).

Art Unit: 2613

Examiner respectfully notes that Knudsen also provides other teachings that would influence “the adjusted maximum digital value” and “the adjusted minimum value”. In particular, notice that col. 7, l. 49-53 of Knudsen teaches that one would adjust the sliding voltage range window, i.e., “the adjusted maximum digital value” and “the adjusted minimum digital value”, to ensure that the input analog signal, i.e., “the analog electrical signal” would fall within the window. Obviously, “the adjusted maximum digital value” and “the adjusted minimum digital value” are determined by the maximum and minimum values of the analog electrical signal so that these values would be included in the window. Otherwise, these values of the analog electrical signal would not be accurately captured, resulting in loss of accurate signal information. Accordingly, Applicant’s arguments are not persuasive.

### **Conclusion**

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3033. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9 AM to 5 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, Kenneth N. Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DSK

  
KENNETH VANDERPUYE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER